IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

THE	CITY	OF	HUNTINGTON

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:17-01362

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:17-01665

JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A TRIAL EXHIBIT STIPULATION

The parties jointly submit this Joint Motion for Entry of a Trial Exhibit Stipulation, which requests entry of the Proposed Order attached as Exhibit A. The Proposed Order will establish the procedure and schedule for disclosing, producing, and objecting to exhibits that will be used at the bench trial currently set to start on October 19, and also sets forth a supplemental procedure for disclosing witnesses during that trial. This Proposed Order will replace the current exhibit list schedule—under which exhibit lists are currently due on September 23 and objections are due on October 7—with a process under which initial disclosures of exhibit lists will be due on October 2 and disclosures of objections will be made on a rolling basis at trial.

The parties submit that because discovery is ongoing, an extension of the exhibit list disclosure deadline to October 2 is warranted, and that, a rolling process for objecting to exhibits should be adopted. The Proposed Order implements this approach and sets forth a procedure under which the parties will disclose non-authenticity objections to exhibits the night before they will be offered into evidence. Under this approach, the parties will only be required to disclose objections to exhibits the other party intends to offer into evidence the following day, and the parties will be required to meet-and-confer about those objections before they are offered. However, this agreement also contemplates the Parties' ability to request certain limited advance rulings on a subset of the exhibits included in the Parties' exhibit lists. This approach will likely result in more productive meet-and-confers with fewer objections needing to be resolved by the Court. For this reason, the parties expect that the Proposed Order will reduce burden on both the parties and the Court and result in efficiencies at the upcoming trial.

Although the parties were able to come to an agreement on almost all of the language in the Proposed Order, they were not able to agree on whether the parties should be required to disclose exhibits to be used with adverse witnesses called in a parties' case-in-chief the night before such witnesses are called on direct examination. Both parties agree that as a general matter, exhibits used with witnesses on direct examination will be disclosed the night before the witness is called, and that process is set forth in § III.A and § V of the Proposed Order. Plaintiffs propose, however, that exhibits should not be disclosed for adverse witnesses called in their case-the night before those witnesses are called on direct because adverse witness direct examinations are similar to cross examinations, for which both parties agree exhibits need not be disclosed the night before. Defendants do not agree that a direct examination of an adverse witness called in a party's case-in-chief should be treated as a cross examination for the purposes of exhibit

disclosures. Defendants believe that a party calling an adverse witness in their case in chief should be subject to the disclosures required for direct examinations because disclosing such exhibits the night before will preserve the Court's resources by permitting any objections to be resolved in advance of the examination, and submit that exhibits should be disclosed the night before for adverse witnesses called in the parties' case-in-chief. The respective language requested by each party is contained in footnotes 6 and 8 of the Proposed Order, and the parties request that the Court decide and adopt the procedure that the Court prefers.

Accordingly, the parties jointly request the Court so-order the Proposed Order attached as Exhibit A, but request that the Court decide whether to adopt Plaintiffs' or Defendants' proposal in footnotes 6 and 8.

Dated: September 21, 2020

Respectfully Submitted, Plaintiffs,

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON and CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION

/s/Paul T. Farrell, Jr. (WVSB No. 7443)

FARRELL LAW

422 Ninth St., 3rd Floor Huntington, WV 25714-1180

Tel: 304-654-8281 paul@farrell.law

Anne McGinness Kearse (WVSB No. 12547)

Joseph F. Rice

MOTLEY RICE LLC

28 Bridgeside Blvd. Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Tel: 843-216-9000 Fax: 843-216-9450

akearse@motleyrice.com jrice@motleyrice.com

McKesson Corporation

By Counsel:

/s/ Jeffrey M. Wakefield

Jeffrey M. Wakefield (WVSB #3894) jwakefield@flahertylegal.com Jason L. Holliday (WVSB #12749) jholliday@flahertylegal.com FLAHERTY SENSABAUGH BONASSO **PLLC**

P.O. Box. 3843

Charleston, WV 25338-3843 Telephone: (304) 345-0200

/s/ Carol Dan Browning

Carol Dan Browning Stites & Harbison, PLLC 400 West Market Street **Suite 1800** Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Telephone: (502) 587-3400 Facsimile: (502) 587-6391 cbrowning@stites.com

/s/ Timothy C. Hester

Timothy C. Hester Mark H. Lynch Christian J. Pistilli Laura Flahive Wu COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street NW Washington, DC 20001

Tel: (202) 662-5324 thester@cov.com mlynch@cov.com cpistilli@cov.com lflahivewu@cov.com

AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation

By Counsel:

/s/ Gretchen M. Callas Gretchen M. Callas (WVSB #7136) JACKSON KELLY PLLC

Post Office Box 553

Charleston, West Virginia 25322

Tel: (304) 340-1000 Fax: (304) 340-1050

gcallas@jacksonkelly.com

/s/ Robert A. Nicholas

Robert A. Nicholas

Shannon E. McClure

REED SMITH LLP

Three Logan Square

1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: (215) 851-8100

Fax: (215) 851-1420

rnicholas@reedsmith.com

smcclure@reedsmith.com

Cardinal Health, Inc.

By Counsel:

/s/ Steven R. Ruby

Michael W. Carey (WVSB No. 635)

Steven R. Ruby (WVSB No. 10752)

David R. Pogue (WVSB No. 10806)

Raymond S. Franks II (WVSB No. 6523)

CAREY DOUGLAS KESSLER & RUBY

PLLC

901 Chase Tower, 707 Virginia Street, East

P.O. Box 913

Charleston, WV 25323

Telephone: (304) 345-1234

Facsimile: (304) 342-1105

mwcarey@csdlawfirm.com

sruby@cdkrlaw.com

drpogue@csdlawfirm.com

rfranks@cdkrlaw.com

/s/ Enu Mainigi

Enu Mainigi

F. Lane Heard III

Ashley W. Hardin

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

725 Twelfth Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Tel: (202) 434-5000

Fax: (202) 434-5029 emainigi@wc.com lheard @wc.com ahardin@wc.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that on this 21st day of September, the foregoing "JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A TRIAL EXHIBIT STIPULATION" was served using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Jeffrey M. Wakefield
Jeffrey M. Wakefield (WVSB #3894)